

Effect of feed additive “Exogenous Enzymes” on growth performance of Maghraby Camels

Adel E. M.¹ and H. EL-Metwaly²

¹Department of Animal Production, Faculty of agriculture, Cairo University, 12613 Giza, Egypt.

²Camel Research Department, Animal Production Research Institute, Dokki, Giza, Egypt.

Akkb2010@gmail.com

Abstract:

This experiment aimed to evaluate the effects of a mixture of exogenous enzymes (ZADO[®]) from anaerobic bacteria on growth performance, feed intake, nutrient digestibility and blood parameters of camel. Eighteen growing Maghraby camels averaged, 268.83 kg body weight; 1.5-2 years, were randomly divided into 3 equal groups (6 in each) of similar weight and age, which were offered complete rations with two levels of ZADO[®] product. The first group was Zero g/h/d (control), the second group took 20 g/h/d of ZADO[®] and the third group 40 g/h/d. Results indicated that 40 g supplementation showed the best response in DM and OM digestibility. Carbohydrate results showed significantly effects of ZADO[®] supplementation on crude fiber and Nitrogen free extract in R40 being, 78.23 %, 80.60% and R20 being, 75.56%, 77.23%, respectively with insignificant difference between R20 and C ration. NDF digestibility was significantly with R40 (75.77%) followed by R20 (72.99%) and C (71.17 %). Blood parameters of control and tested groups of camels were in normal range with slight decrease in total lipid. Total body gain and average daily gain (ADG) significantly differed among experimental groups being 61.87, 84.82 and 88.65 kg and 0.69, 0.94 and 0.98, in C, R20 and R40 kg, respectively. Data related to feed intake as DM, TDN showed insignificant difference among groups of camels. It could be concluded that growing male Maghraby camels fed on the diet containing ZADO[®] performed better than those offered the control ration. Moreover, adding ZADO[®] in camel ration (40g/h/d) was the better, as confirmed by the highest body weight gain, most of blood metabolites and digestibility.

[Adel E. M. and Hassan EL-Metwaly. **Effect of feed additive “Exogenous Enzymes” on growth performance of**

Maghraby Camels. *Life Sci J* 2012;9(4):4837-4842] (ISSN:1097-8135).
<http://www.lifesciencesite.com.726>

Keywords: Camel, ZADO[®], digestibility, daily gain.

Introduction

The dromedary camel is one of the most important domestic animals in the arid and semi arid regions as it is equipped to produce high quality food at comparatively low costs under extremely harsh environments (Yagil, 1982; Yousif and Babiker, 1989). The role of the camel as a meat producer is becoming more important due to the versatile role it plays rather than as a symbol of social prestige, which was the role it used to play but which has since greatly diminished (Dawood and Alkanhal, 1995). The camel has great tolerance to high temperatures, high solar radiation and water scarcity. It can survive well on sandy terrain with poor vegetation and may chiefly consume feeds unutilized by other domestic species (Shalah, 1983). Tandon, Bissa, and Khanna (1988) noted that the camel is likely to produce animal protein at a comparatively low cost in the arid zones based on feeds and fodder that are generally not utilized by other domestic species due to either their size or food habits. Camel has a great capacity to produce meat. Now, camel has a more important situation as a meat -producing animal in Egypt (Mohmed *et al.*, 2009).

In the same time, FAO statistics (FAO, 2010) refers to there are about 24.7 million camels in the World, of which 20.7 million are found in Africa,

only 11 thousands from this numbers in Egypt. Also, numbers of camels decreased from year to another in Egypt (141 thousands in 2000, 120 thousands in 2005) according statistics and that contrasted with other countries.

A commercial exogenous enzyme mixture (ZADO[®]), prepared from anaerobic bacterium, has been shown to improve nutrient digestibility, as well as milk yield of cows fed diets containing Egyptian by-product feeds (Gado *et al.*, 2007; Soliman, 2006), as well as live weight gain and feed conversion of sheep and goats fed wheat straw (Gado and Salem, 2008; Salem *et al.*, 2007). Also, Gado *et al.* (2009) observed that the addition of enzymes increased intake of dry matter (DM) and organic matter (OM) was positively influenced by supplementation, and digestibility of all nutrients was higher in the total tract of supplemented cows with 40 g of ZADO[®]/h/ day, although the magnitude of the improvement varied among nutrients, with the highest improvement in NDF and ADF than the other nutrients.

The Egyptian Maghraby camel is medium in size with small but pointed hump. Besides pack use, the Maghrebi camel is used for all kinds of agricultural, industrial and draft purposes. A number of types are locally developed serve certain functions.

The Maghrebi camel generally responds to feeding and might gain about 700-1000 grams per day during the first year under intensive conditions (Wardeh, 2004). In the same time, there is a lack of information in the literature on using feed additives in the growing camel's rations. Therefore, the objective of the present study was to evaluate the effects of

ZADO[®] supplementation of diets of Maghraby camel calves on feed intake, growth performance, nutrients digestibility and blood metabolites.

Materials and Methods Location

The present study was carried out at two places growth and digestion trial were taken place at Camel Studies and Production Development Center in

Matrouh governorate which belongs to Camel Research Department, Animal Production Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center and sample analysis and statistics at Animal production department, Faculty of Agriculture, Cairo University ,Giza, Egypt.

Experimental animals and rations

Eighteen growing Maghraby Camels averaged 268.83 kg body weight; 1.5-2 years old were divided into 3 groups of 6 in each according to live weight for 90 days trial. Animals in the control group C: were fed concentrate feed mixture (CFM) and clover hay plus rice straw without additives, while, in the tested rations animals were fed R20:

C+ 20 g ZADO[®] and R40: C+ 40 g ZADO[®] showed in Table 1.

Table: 1. Chemical composition and fiber fractions of ingredients in the basal ration.

Item	Feedstuffs			Rati
	CFM	Clover hay	Rice straw	
Chemical composition %				
DM	91.33	89.96	89.25	90.
OM	89.21	90.00	86.33	88.
Ash	10.79	10.00	13.67	11.
CP	14.76	13.76	4.92	13.
EE	3.08	1.28	1.03	2.4
CF	8.61	36.20	42.51	19.
NFE	62.76	38.76	37.87	54.
Fiber fractions %				
NDF	34.21	61.94	78.48	46.
ADF	12.67	49.70	57.02	26.
ADL	2.98	4.95	10.49	4.4
Cellulose	9.69	44.75	46.53	22.
Hemi-cellulose	21.54	12.24	21.46	19.

*CFM, concentrate feed mixture, NDF: neutral detergent fiber, ADF: acid detergent fiber and ADL: acid detergent lignin

Digestion Trial

Digestive trial were conducted to determine the nutritive value of experimental rations. Each trial was divided into two stages: a preliminary 21-day period to allow the animals to adapt to each feed, and a 7-day experimental period during which voluntary feed intake was measured and total collection of feces. Feces samples were weighed and dried at 60°C for 24 hrs in a hot air oven. The dried samples of feces and feeds were ground to pass through 1mm sieve. Representative samples of feed and feces were stored in emerged bottles for chemical analysis. Meanwhile, the digestion coefficients and nutritive values of the experimental rations were calculated.

Chemical analysis

Feeds and feces were analyzed for proximate analyses (A.O.A.C., 1990). Nitrogen free extract was

calculated by difference. Fiber fractions were analyzed according to Van Soest and Wine (1967) and the cellulose and hemicelluloses were calculated by difference.

Blood parameters

Blood samples were collected from camels at the end of digestion trail. The blood samples were taken from the jugular vein in dry clean glasses tubes using heparin as anticoagulant and then centrifuged for 15 minutes at 4000 rpm to obtain plasma. Biochemical of blood plasma constituents were determined by using commercial kits, total protein and creatinine as described by Tietz (1986 and 1990), albumin was determined according to Doumas *et al.*, (1971), blood plasma urea was determined according to Patton and Grouch (1977). Alanin amino transferase (ALT) and activity of aspartate transfearse

(AST) were determined by the methods of Young (1990). Glucose (g/dl) was executed by using kits of Stanbio Laboratory Inc, procedure No. 1070. (San Antonio, Texas, USA). Total lipids, triglycerides and total Cholesterol (mg/dl) were quantified by using colorimetric method by using kits of Bio diagnostic company.

Statistical analysis

Data were analyzed using the general liner model procedure of SAS (2000). One way ANOVA procedure was used to analyze the feed intake, digestibility, growth performance and blood parameter

data following the next model; $y_{ij} = \mu + T_{ij} + E_{ij}$, were: μ

is the overall mean of y_{ij} ; T_{ij} is the treatments effect; E_{ij} is the experimental error. The differences among means were separated according to Duncan's New Multiple Range Test (Duncan's 1955).

Results and discussion

Digestion coefficients and nutritive values

Data concerning nutrients digestibility and nutritive values are presented in Table (2). The results indicated that dry matter and organic matter digestibility was significantly lower ($P < 0.05$) with control ration (C, 71.85%, 74.31) than rations with

ZADO[®] supplementation with insignificant differences between R20 and R40 (73.34%, 75.87 and 76.56, 79.10 %). Also, data refers to 40 g supplementation gives best results in DM and OM digestibility, same trend reported by Gado *et al.* (2009). ZADO[®] addition did not appear any significant ($P < 0.05$) effect on crude protein and ether extract digestibility. Carbohydrate results showed significantly effects of ZADO[®] supplementation on

crude fiber and Nitrogen free extract in R40 being, 78.23 %, 80.60% and R20 being, 75.56%, 77.23%, respectively with insignificant difference between R20 and C ration. There were insignificant ($P < 0.05$) differences in results related to fiber fractions digestibility among control and experimented groups in ADF, cellulose and hemicelluloses digestibility. But NDF digestibility was significantly with R40 (75.77%) followed by R20 (72.99%) and C (71.17 %).

Exogenous enzyme in ZADO[®] product, rich in xylanolytic, cellulase, α -amylase and protease activity, had positive effects on digestion of NDF in TMR, agreed with Krause *et al.* (1998), who suggested that enzymes can improve nutrient degradation in high concentrate diets. Perhaps the net effects of fibrolytic enzyme mixtures are not limited to the dietary component to which the enzymes are applied, which may explain why fibrolytic enzymes can be effective in improving digestibility of the non-fiber carbohydrates in addition to increasing digestibility of fiber when enzymes are added to the concentrate portion of a diet, or to high-concentrate diets (Beauchemin *et al.*, 2003).

The nutritive values of tested rations presented in Table (2) indicated that the TDN of experimental rations were significantly differ 68.25, 69.90 and 72.79% with C, R20 and R40, respectively. The corresponding values of digestible crude protein were 9.09, 9.21 and 9.73 % for rations C, R20 and R40 with significant difference of R40 than other groups. In this study, this is in harmony with Kholif (2008) when goats fed

on rumen content with 20 g ZADO[®] addition.

Table 2. Effect of ZADO[®] additive on digestion coefficients and nutritive values

Item	Experimental rations			±SE
	C	R20	R40	
Digestibility, %				
DM	71.85 ^b	73.34 ^{ab}	76.56 ^a	1.23
OM	74.31 ^b	75.87 ^{ab}	79.10 ^a	1.13
CP	69.16	70.06	74.07	1.47
EE	75.84	79.50	79.94	1.47
CF	73.14 ^b	75.56 ^{ab}	78.23 ^a	1.20
NFE	75.63 ^b	77.23 ^{ab}	80.60 ^a	1.23
NDF	71.17 ^b	72.99 ^{ab}	75.77 ^a	1.17
ADF	62.66	63.38	68.48	1.86
Cellulose	68.02	68.41	74.16	1.71
Hemicelluloses	82.84	85.11	85.78	1.28
Nutritive values, %				
TDN	68.25 ^b	69.90 ^{ab}	72.79 ^a	1.01
DCP	9.09 ^b	9.21 ^b	9.73 ^a	0.19

Means in the same row with different superscript are significantly different (P<0.05).

Blood parameters

Results concerning the effect of feeding camel on ZADO[®] product on some blood parameters are shown in Table (3). The average values of plasma total proteins of the experimental groups were 9.21, 9.35 and 9.01 g/dl for C, R20 and R40, respectively. Plasma albumin and globulin concentration in the showed similar trend of total plasma proteins, which indicated slight variations among tested groups of camel. Al-Busadah (2007) investigated that, total protein and albumin in different breeds of camels ranged from 4.9-10.2 g/dl and 3.1-6.2 g/dl. Triglycerides values showed significantly (P<0.05) effect of ZADO[®] addition, R40 had a higher value (111.51) than R20 (104.84) and C (79.27). In the same context, Mohamed, (2008) found that

triglycerides in Egyptian camels ranged from 0.71 to 1.02 mmol/l. On contrast, total lipid data appeared lower significant values with R40 (873.22) than R20 and control ration. In the same trend, Nazifi *et al.*, 2000 noticed that concentration of lipid in camels less than 6 years old 3.19-4.18 g/l.

Blood glucose concentration was highly significant with R20 (57.97) than other experimental rations with insignificant effect between C and R40. In this area, Bhatia (1986) reported the range 75-120 mg/dl and concluded that concentration of glucose in

the blood of camels is generally higher than that in other ruminants. Urea and creatinine concentration in blood plasma was insignificantly ($P < 0.05$) with control ration and supplemented rations. Chiericato *et al.* (1986a) found that urea in male camel may be up to 39.9 g/dl. Also, Patodkar *et al.*, (2010) reported that adult male and female blood creatinine being; 1.87 and 2.37 mg/dl.

There was significant difference ($P < 0.05$) in blood AST concentration among camels fed on C, R20 and R40 ration being, 69.05, 77.24 and 59.11 IU/L, respectively. In this respect, Mohamed and Hussein (1999) showed that AST concentration ranged between 34 – 148 IU/l. On the other side, the values of ALT ranged from 6.86 to 7.68 IU/L without significant differences among groups. Aichouni *et al.*, (2010) stated that the ALT content in blood of different camel breeds were 3.01 – 6.91 IU/l. Also, Sarwar and Majeed (1997) reported that serum ALT activity was positively correlated with serum globulin and total protein levels. Blood plasma transaminase enzymes activity (ALT and AST) are the most important indicators of liver cells activity where increasing the concentration of these enzymes indicate that the tissue activity are destroyed (Clifton Blincoe and Dye, 1958).

Table 3. Effect of ZADO® additive on blood parameters of camel fed the experimental rations.

Item	Treatments			±SE
	C	R20	R40	
Total proteins, g/dl	9.21	9.35	9.01	0.15
Albumin, g/dl	5.58	5.41	5.74	0.18
Globulin, g/dl	3.63	3.94	3.27	0.23
Triglyceride, mg/dl	79.27b	104.84ab	111.51a	9.12
Total lipid, mg/dl	900.00ab	932.79a	873.22b	15.73
Glucose, mg/dl	38.11b	57.97a	55.31ab	5.84
Urea, mg/dl	34.85	42.25	26.34	7.64
Creatinine, mg/dl	0.97	1.08	1.09	0.05
AST, IU/L	69.05ab	77.24a	59.11b	4.92
ALT, IU/L	6.86	7.68	6.87	0.47

Means in the same row with different superscript are significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

Growth performance

Daily gain, feed intake and feed conversion of camels fed two levels of additives and control group were measured. The results of live body weight values are shown in Table (4). There were insignificant differences ($P < 0.05$) among the camels at the beginning of the experiment, being; 268.37, 286.62 and 269.50 kg. Final body weight gain was slight significant between supplemented groups (353.45, 358.15 kg with R20 and R40, respectively) and control group (330.25 kg) without insignificant differences between R20 and R40. In the same context, total body gain and average daily gain (ADG) significantly differed among experimental

groups being 61.87, 84.82 and 88.65 kg and 0.69, 0.94 and 0.98, in C, R20 and R40 kg, respectively. Nutritive values of the experimental rations as TDN (68.25, 69.90 and 72.79 %) and DCP (9.09, 9.21. and 9.37 %), significantly differed, which may elaborate the former results concerning total or daily gain in difference. Daily growth rates for camels vary widely between regions, breeds and within the same breed. The limited work carried out on improving camel nutrition demonstrated significant relationships between daily gain and daily intake of concentrates for dromedary camels. The present data agreed with Wardeh, (2004), who recorded that Maghreby camel generally responds to feeding and might gain about

700-1000 grams per day during the first year under intensive conditions. Growing Maghraby camel which fed two different rations (control ration and *Nigella sativa* ration) at 3% of body weight for 98 day that ADG was 886 – 950 g/d respectively (Mohamed, 2007).

So, this study appeared when camel fed on good quality feeds and improved rumen ecosystem by feed additives for instance exogenous enzyme gives the best results with that promise animal. In this respect, Kamoun (1993) reported that ADG of Magraby dromedary camels kept on pastures of the Mediterranean type were 760 g up to 5 months of age, 605 up to 10 months of age and 353g from 12 up to 18 month of age. Also, Kamoun, 1995 investigated that, camels fed a diet with high dietary protein and energy gained more weight (550 g/d) than non-supplemented camels fed only on mangroves (260 g/d). A commercial exogenous enzyme mixture (ZADO®), prepared from anaerobic bacterium, has

been shown to improve live weight gain and feed conversion of wheat straw in sheep and goats (Gado and Salem, 2008; Salem et al., 2007).

Data related to feed intake as DM, TDN showed insignificant difference among groups of camels. However, DCP intake was significantly lower with control group (54.41 g) than experimental groups (57.29 and 58.71 g in R20 and R40). On the other hand, results of feed conversion

indicated that supplementation of ZADO® in camel ration gives best results with feed conversion as DM (8.68 g DM/g gain). Consistent with El-Badawi and Yacout (1999) found that, camels and steers fed concentrate mixture (14%CP) at level 2% of body weight and rice straw *ad lib* showed ADG 810g and 770g for camels and steers respectively. On contrast, feed conversion (kg DM/kg gain) was nearly similar for both species (10.01 and 10.76 kg for camels and steers respectively).

Table 4. Average live body weight, feed intake and feed conversion of growing camels fed ZADO® additive.

Item		Experimental rations			±SE
		C	R20	R40	
Body weight change	Initial live body weight, kg	268.37a	268.62a	269.50a	14.31
	Final live body weight, kg	330.25b	353.45a	358.15a	14.51
	Total live weight gain, kg	61.87b	84.82ab	88.65a	7.38
	Average daily gain, kg	0.69b	0.94ab	0.98a	0.08
Feed intake, h/d. As fed, kg	CFM	4.29	4.46	4.50	---
	CH	1.40	1.46	1.47	---
	RS	0.95	0.99	1.00	---
Feed intake, h/d. on DM basis	DM, kg.	5.99	6.22	6.27	0.57
	TDN, kg	4.08	4.34	4.56	0.57
	DCP, g.	54.41b	57.29a	58.81a	1.52
Feed conversion (g feed/ g gain)	DM, g.	8.68a	6.62b	6.39b	2.49
	TDN, g.	5.19	4.62	4.65	0.59
	DCP intake, g.	0.8	0.6	0.6	0.06

Means in the same row with different superscript are significantly different ($P < 0.05$).

Conclusion

It could be concluded that the exogenous enzyme product (ZADO®), sourced from anaerobic bacterium and added to the camel rations, increased daily gain due to enhanced nutrient intake, and nutrient digestibility, as well as increased feed conversation.

Corresponding author Adel E. M.

Department of Animal Production, Faculty of agriculture, Cairo University, 12613 Giza, Egypt.
Akkb2010@gmail.com

References

1. O.A.C. (1990). Official methods of an analysis. 15th Ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Washington, DC., USA .
2. Aichouni, A.; Jeblawi, R.; Dellal, A.; Hammou, H. and Aggad, H. (2010). Breed variation in blood constituents of the one-humped camel (*Camelus dromedaries*) in Algeria. *J. Camelid Sci.* 3:19-25
3. Al-Busadah, Kh. A. (2007). Some Biochemical and Haematological Indices in Different Breeds of Camels in Saudi Arabia. *Scientific J. of King Faisal Uni. (Basic and Applied Sciences)*,(1): 14-28
4. Beauchemin, K.A., Yang, W.Z., Morgavi, D.P., Ghorbani, G.R., Kautz, W., Leedle, J.A.Z., (2003). Effects of bacterial direct-fed microbials and yeast on site and extent of digestion, blood chemistry, and subclinical ruminal acidosis in feedlot cattle. *J. Anim. Sci.* 81: 1628-1640.
5. Bhatia, J. S. 1986. Special aspects of physiology of digestion in camel. *Farm Animals* 1: 15-20.
6. Chiericato, J. M.; Warfa, A. A. and M. P. Schiappelli, (1986). Influence of sex of the dromedary on some constituents of the blood. *Rivista di Zootecniae Veterinaria* 4: 196-199. (*Veterinary Bulletin* 57: 3890).
7. Clifton Blincoe and W. B. Dye (1958). Serum Transaminase in White Muscle Disease. *J. Ani. Sci.*, 17:224-226.
8. Dawood, A., and Alkanhal, M. A. (1995). Nutrient composition of Najdi- Camel Meat. *Meat Science*, 39:71-78.
9. Dumas, B.; Wabson, W. and Biggs, H. (1971). Albumin standards and measurements of serum with bromochresol green. *Clin. Chem. Acta.*, 31(1):87-96.
10. Duncan, D. B. (1955). Multiple Range and Multiple F Test. *Biometrics*, 11:10.
11. El-Badawi, A. Y. and Yacout, M. H. M. (1999). Comparative study on growth performance of camel (*Camelus dromedaries*) calves and cattle steers in the feedlot system. *Proceeding of the 7 th Sci. conf. on Anim. Nutr. (ruminant, poultry and fish)*. 19-21 Oct., 1999, El-Arish, Egypt. Part 1: *Egyptian J. Nutr. And Feeds*, 1999, 2: Special Issue, 319- 330.
12. FAO, Food and agriculture Organization of the United Nation Rome (2010). *Animal Live Statistics*.

13. Gado, H.M., A.Z.M. Salem, P.H. Robinson and M. Hassan., (2009). Influence of exogenous enzymes on nutrient digestibility, extent of ruminal fermentation as well as milk production and composition in dairy cows. *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 154 (2009) 36– 46 *Animal Feed Science and Technology* 154: 36–46
14. Gado, H.M., Metwally, H.M., Soliman, H., Basiony, A.Z.L., El Galil and E.R., (2007). Enzymatic treatments of bagasse by different sources of cellulase enzymes. In: The 11th Conf. Animal Nutr., Al-Aqsor-Aswan, Egypt on 2 November, 13– 18, vol. 10, p. 607.
15. Gado, H.M. and Salem, A.Z.M., (2008). Influence of exogenous enzymes from anaerobic source on growth performance, digestibility, ruminal fermentation and blood metabolites in lambs fed on orange pulp silage in total mixed ration. In: 59th Annual Meeting of the European Association for Animal Production, Vilnius, Lithuania, August 24–27, p. 228 (Abstract).
16. Kamoun, M. (1993). Reproduction and production of Maghrabi dromedaries kept on pastures of the Mediterranean type. *Etudes et Syntheses de l'ITEMVT.* (1993), no. 41, 117-130; Actes de l'Atelier "Peut on ameliorer les performances de reproduction des camelins" Paris, France, 10-12 September.
17. Kamoun, M. (1995). Dromedary meat: production, qualitative aspects and acceptability for transformation. *Option Mediterraneennes Serie B, Etudes et Recherches*, 13, 105–130.
18. Kholif, A. E., (2008). Use of Biotechnology to Improve the Utilization of rumen contents in ruminants ration. Ms.C., Fac. of Agric., Ain Shams Univ.
19. Krause, M., Beauchemin, K.A., Rode, L.M., Farr, B.I. and Nrgaard, Ǿ, (1998). Fibrolytic enzyme treatment of barley grain and source of forage in high-grain diets fed to growing cattle. *J. Ani. Sci.* 76: 2912-2920.
20. Mohamed, H.A and Hussein, A.N. (1999). Studies on normal haematological and serum biochemical values of the ' Hijin' racing camels (*Camelus dromedarius*) in Kuwait. *Vet. Res. Commun.* Jun; 23(4):241-8.
21. Mohamed, H.E. (2008). Factors Affecting the Plasma Lipid Status in Camels (*Camelus dromedarius*). *Res. J. Biol. Sci.*, 3 (4): 444-445
22. Mohamed, M. I. (2007). Evaluation of Growth Performance for Growing Maghraby Camel Fed on Un-conventional Feed. *Int. J. Agri. Biol.*, Vol. 9, No. 1, p 18-21.
23. Mohamed, M.I., Y.A. Maareck, Soha S. Abdel-Magid, I.M. Awadalla, (2009). Feed intake, digestibility, rumen fermentation and growth performance of camels fed diets supplemented with a yeast culture or zinc bacitracin. *Ani. Feed Sci. and Tech.*, 149 : 341–345.

24. Nazifi, S.; Gheirsari, H.R.; Abbasali Poorkabir, M. and Saadatfar, S. (2000). Serum Lipids and Lipoproteins in Clinically Healthy Male Camels (*Camelus dromedarius*) Vet. Res. Communi. 24: 527-531.
25. Patodkar, V.R.; Somkuwar, A.P.; Parekar, S. and Khade,(2010). Influence of sex on certain biochemical parameters in nomadic camels (*camelus dromedarius*) nearby pune, in Maharashtra. Vet. World. 3:115-117.
26. Patton, F. G. and Grouch, S. R. (1977). Colorimetric determination of urea, Anal. Chem. 49, 468.
27. Salem, A.Z.M., El-Adawy, M.M., Gado, H., Khalil, M.S.M., 2007. Feed intake, nutrient digestibility and animal growth performance in sheep and goats fed wheat straw. ADSA PSA AMPA ASAS Joint Annual Meeting, San Antonio, TX, USA, July 8–12. J. Anim. Sci. 85 (Suppl. 1), 107 (Abstract).
28. Sarwar, A. and Majeed, M. A. (1997). Interrelationships between 30 parameters of blood in normal one-humped camel in summer. J. of Camel Prac. And Res., 4:1, 35-39.
29. SAS (2000). SAS users guide Statistical analysis system institute, Inc., Cary, Nc, USA.
30. Shalah, M. R. (1983). The role of camels in overcoming world meat shortage. Egyptian J. of Vet. Sci., 20:101-110.
31. Soliman, M.S., (2006). Utilization of peanut hay in ruminant feeding. Ph.D. Thesis. Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt.
32. Tandon, S. N., Bissa, U. K., and Khanna, N. D. (1988). Camel meat: Present status and future prospects. Annals of Arid Zone, 27: 23–28.
33. Tietz, N. W. (1986). Text Book of Clinical Chemistry. 1271. Saunders, Philadelphia, 1271.
34. Tietz, N. W. (1990). Clinical Guide to Laboratory Tests 2nd Philadelphia.
35. Van Soest, P. J. and Win, R. M. (1967). Use of detergent in the analysis of fibrous feed. IV. Determination of plant cell wall constituent. J. Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem. 50:50-55.
36. Wardeh, M.F. (2004). Classification of the dromedary camels. J. Camel Sci. vol (1)1-7.
37. Yagil, R. (1982). Camels and camel milk. FAO Animal Production and Health. Publications Division, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Via delle Terme di Caracalla, 00100 Rome, Italy (No. 26).
38. Young, D. S. (1990). Effects of drugs on clinical laboratory testes, 3rd 3:6-12.

39. Yousif, O. K. and Babiker, S. A. (1989). The desert camel as meat animals. *Meat Science*, 26, 245-254.